

## Radiology Seed Funding Request Reviewer Form

**REVIEWER**

**PROJECT**

---

**Pro000**

---

Criteria	Score (1-9)
Significance	
Innovation	
Approach	
<b>Total Score</b>	0
<b>Average Sore</b>	0

(Mapping to CRU Review Questions)

The research is well-supported by available scientific information.

The research does not unjustifiably duplicate existing studies.

The research study design is appropriate to answer the research question being asked in the study.

**Comments**

Review this request using the NIH criteria of:

Significance, Investigator(s), Innovation, Approach, and Environment,  
and the NIH scoring system of 1 through 9 for each criterion.

Score	Descriptor	Additional Guidance on Strengths/Weaknesses
1	Exceptional	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
2	Outstanding	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
3	Excellent	Very strong with only some minor weaknesses
4	Very Good	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
5	Good	Strong but with at least one moderate weakness
6	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
7	Fair	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
8	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
9	Poor	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses

**Definitions:**

**Minor Weakness:** An easily addressable weakness that does not substantially lessen impact

**Moderate Weakness:** A weakness that lessens impact

**Major Weakness:** A weakness that severely limits impact